IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

THURSDAY, THE FIFTEENTH DAY OF JULY
TWO THOUSAND AND FOUR

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MRS JUSTICE T.MEENA KUMARI

WRIT PETITION NO : 16642 of 1994

Between:

1.M. Ravi Kumar S/o. Thimmanna, aged 28 years,
R/o. Gadwal, Mahabubnagar District.
2.Nadipi Ayyanna, S/o Chinna Shalanna, R/o. Alampur,

Mahabubnagar District.
3.Mohd. Mastan, S/o Doulat Sab
R/o Camel Steet, Near Gandla Sivakumar's House, Gadwal,

Mahabubnagar District.

..... PETITIONERS

AND

1. The Joint Collector, Mahabubnagar.
2. The Mandal Revenue Officer, Gadwal, Mahabubnagar District.
3. Muthyala Venkamma, W/o Chenniah,
R/o Chintalapet, Gadwal Town, Mahabubnagar District.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court may be pleased to call for the concerned records from the 1st respondent, relating to the proceedings dated 4-7-1994 in case No. B8/2/94 wherein he had confirmed the orders of the 2nd respondent dated 3-12-1993 in No. H/1398/92 and quash the same by issuance of a Writ, Direction, or Order, particularly one in the nature of Certiorari.

Counsel for the Petitioner: MR.R.V.SUBBA RAO

Counsel for the Respondents 1 and 2: GP FOR REVENUE

Counsel for the Respondent No.3: Mr. V.V. Narasimha Rao.

The Court made the following :

ORAL ORDER:

This writ petition is filed against the order passed by the 1st respondent-Joint Collector, Mahabubnagar, in Case No.B8/2/94 dated 04.07.1994 confirming the order passed by the 2nd respondent-Mandal Revenue Officer dated 03.12.1993.

The 1st respondent-Joint Collector, Mahaboobnagar, after going into the facts of the case and having exercised his discretion, held that no revision lies against the order of the 2nd respondent-Mandal Revenue Officer, under Section 9 of the A.P. (Rights in Land) and Pattedar Pass Books Act, 1971. The 1st respondent has given sufficient and cogent reasons in dismissing the revision filed by the petitioners. Therefore, I see no reason or justification to interfere with the order passed by the 1st respondent.

However, learned Counsel for the petitioner sought leave of this Court to approach the appropriate authority for appropriate relief. Accordingly leave is granted.

The writ petition is accordingly closed. No costs.

_____

15th July, 2004

Nsr/Gsn

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

// **TRUE COPY** //

SECTION OFFICER

To

1. The Joint Collector, Mahabubnagar.
2. The Mandal Revenue Officer, Gadwal, Mahabubnagar District.
3. Two CCs to GP for Revenue, High Court Buildings, Hyderabad (OUT)
4. Two CD copies.